Koch, Kristine

From: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 9:02 AM

To: Koch, Kristine; Bob Wyatt (rjw@nwnatural.com)

Cc: Jennifer Woronets

Subject: RE: dioxin/furan metabolic rates for the FWM

Kristine,

Bob and I are in a meeting this morning so I will connect with John Toll afterwards about your request. At first blush I do not see an issue with getting you the references by the end of this month. I provide a more definitive answer after I talk with John. Thanks, Jim.

From: Koch, Kristine [Koch.Kristine@epa.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 8:15 AM

To: James McKenna; Bob Wyatt (rjw@nwnatural.com)

Cc: Jennifer Woronets

Subject: FW: dioxin/furan metabolic rates for the FWM

Jim and Bob – Can you please provide the references for all the values provided by Windward Environmental below by COB January 30.

Thanks,

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax) 1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

From: Allen, Elizabeth

Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 8:12 AM

To: Koch, Kristine

Subject: FW: dioxin/furan metabolic rates for the FWM

From: Allen, Elizabeth

Sent: Friday, November 14, 2014 1:51 PM

To: 'Suzanne Replinger'

Cc: John Toll; Koch, Kristine; 'James McKenna'; 'Jennifer Woronets'

Subject: RE: dioxin/furan metabolic rates for the FWM

Thanks Suzanne! This also shows my initial guess that the Km values for the penta dioxin and furan would be the same was incorrect. I think at some point we'll need to be able to cite references for all these values, but that isn't time-critical.

Elizabeth

From: Suzanne Replinger [mailto:SuzanneR@windwardenv.com]

Sent: Friday, November 14, 2014 1:45 PM

To: Allen, Elizabeth **Cc:** John Toll

Subject: dioxin/furan metabolic rates for the FWM

Hi Elizabeth -

To follow up from our conversation a bit ago, invertebrate KMs were assumed to be 0 in the August 2014 work we did modeling the dioxin/furan congeners. The table below shows the invertebrate and fish KM values. Note that 2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF was calibrated as part of the 2009 report, so we had a non-zero value for invertebrates for that chemical. The only other wrinkle was that based on the available literature, we selected a different KM for carp for 2378-TCDD (as shown in the table below.

Dioxin/furan	Invertebrate K _M	Fish K _M
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF	0	0.02
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD	0	0.08
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD	0	0.01
2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF	0.095	0.024
2,3,7,8-TetraCDF	0	0.1
		0.007
2,3,7,8-TetraCDD	0	(Carp = 0.0016)

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Suzanne

Suzanne Replinger Senior Risk Assessor | Associate Windward Environmental, LLC 200 W Mercer St, Suite 401 Seattle, WA 98119

Direct Line: 206.812.5435 suzanner@windwardenv.com

This electronic message transmission contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify me by telephone or email.